

ventors:

FERNANDEZ et al.

Attorney Docket No.:

FERN-P004

erial No.:

09/145,167

Group Art Unit: 2765

Filed:

09/01/98

Examiner:

ROBINSON BOYCE, 1/4

5 Title: ADAPTIVE DIRECT TRANSACTION FOR NETWORKED CLIENT GROU

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL ACTION

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

10

In Response to the Final Official Action dated 09/11/00, and to summarize Applicants' telephone conversation with the Examiner on 10/11/00, this Response is hereby submitted to provide clarifying remarks and explain arguments further in the present application.

15 REMARKS

> First of all, Applicants respectfully thank Examiner Akiba Robinson-Boyce for the opportunity to conduct a telephone discussion on October 11, 2000. In the Final Office Action of 09/11/00, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Levergood et al. (US Patent 5,708,780), and Claims 3, 9-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Levergood et al and Hoffberg et al. (US Patent 5,774,357).

> Applicants respectfully submit that neither Levergood et al nor Hoffberg et al, individually or in combination, describe or suggest the invention as claimed in Applicants' Amendment dated 05/19/00, in particular for the following distinctive reasons, as discussed with the Examiner:

25

20